RECEIVED
CENTRALFAX CENTER

AUG 0 8 2007

## **REMARKS/ARGUMENTS**

The Office Action (1) provisionally rejected claims 1, 3, and 5 on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 1 and 12 of co-pending application No. 2004/0100394 (10/692,476); (2) allowed claims 2, 4, and 6 if its base claims 1, 3, 5 respectively overcome the rejection under the nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting.

- (1) With regard to the rejection of claims 1, 3, and 5 on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 1 and 12 of copending application No. 2004/0100394 (10/692,476), applicant will submit a terminal disclaimer after the co-pending application is allowed.
- (2) With regard to the conditioning allowance of claims 2, 4, and 6, applicant submits that the provisional rejection under the nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting is overcome with a terminal disclaimer after its allowance.

Applicant respectfully requests that a timely Notice of Allowance be issued in this case.

Respectfully submitted,

noscel

Tue Nguyen